Microbial community structure of hydrothermal deposits from geochemically different vent fields along the Mid-Atlantic Ridge
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Summary

To evaluate the effects of local fluid geochemistry on microbial communities associated with active hydrothermal vent deposits, we examined the archaeal and bacterial communities of 12 samples collected from two very different vent fields: the basalt-hosted Lucky Strike (37°17′/H11032 N, 32°16.3′/H11032 W, depth 1600–1750 m) and the ultramafic-hosted Rainbow (36°13′/H11032 N, 33°54.1′/H11032 W, depth 2270–2330 m) vent fields along the Mid-Atlantic Ridge (MAR). Using multiplexed barcoded pyrosequencing of the variable region 4 (V4) of the 16S rRNA genes, we show statistically significant differences between the archaeal and bacterial communities associated with the different vent fields. Quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) assays of the functional gene diagnostic for methanogenesis (mcrA), as well as geochemical modelling to predict pore fluid chemistries within the deposits, support the pyrosequencing observations. Collectively, these results show that the less reduced, hydrogen-poor fluids at Lucky Strike limit colonization by strict anaerobes such as methanogens, and allow for hyperthermophilic microaerophiles, like Aeropyrum. In contrast, the hydrogen-rich reducing vent fluids at the ultramafic-influenced Rainbow vent field support the prevalence of methanogens and other hydrogen-oxidizing thermophiles at this site. These results demonstrate that biogeographical patterns of hydrothermal vent microorganisms are shaped in part by large scale geological and geochemical processes.

Introduction

Deep-sea hydrothermal environments support highly productive biological communities comparable in total biomass production to the most prolific marine ecosystems (Sarrazin and Juniper, 1999). As the high-temperature hydrothermal fluid mixes with cold oxygenated seawater, minerals precipitate to form vent mineral deposits (Tivey, 2007). These porous deposits are quickly colonized by a diversity of Archaea and Bacteria that harness the abundant geochemical energy available in the hydrothermal fluids (Page et al., 2008). One of the major processes controlling the chemical composition of hydrothermal fluids is the interaction of subsurface circulating seawater with surrounding igneous rocks at elevated temperatures and pressures (Von Damm, 1995). The majority of magmatically heated vent systems thus far studied are hosted by basaltic rocks and give rise to fluids rich in hydrogen-sulfide (Von Damm, 1995). However, along slow- and ultra-slow-spreading ridges like the Mid-Atlantic Ridge (MAR), some hydrothermal systems include reactions with ultramafic rocks (peridotite), which have significant impacts on fluid chemistry. For example, due to serpentinization reactions, hydrothermal fluids can have elevated concentrations of hydrogen (H₂), iron (Fe) and methane (CH₄), and lower levels of hydrogen-sulfide (H₂S) relative to basalt-hosted systems (Charlou et al., 2002) (Table 1).

While numerous molecular- and cultivation-based studies have been conducted to document the diversity of microorganisms associated with hydrothermal vent deposits, relatively few studies have explored the possible abiotic controls on microbial biogeography in these systems. Theoretically, the type and magnitude of energy
Table 1. Range of physicochemical characteristics of end-member hydrothermal fluids from different vent fields along the Mid-Atlantic Ridge.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Basalt-hosted</th>
<th>Lucky Strike</th>
<th>Ultramafic-hosted</th>
<th>Ultramafic-hosted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13°N (EPR)</td>
<td>TAG</td>
<td>Rainbow</td>
<td>Logatchev</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>317–380</td>
<td>290–321</td>
<td>163–324</td>
<td>191–370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(372–324)</td>
<td>(3.5–3.7)</td>
<td>(0.003–0.73)</td>
<td>(2.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH (25°C)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.0–3.4</td>
<td>3.3–3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.30–0.85)</td>
<td>(16)</td>
<td>(16)</td>
<td>9.0–9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂ (mM)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.15–0.37</td>
<td>0.25–0.43</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.025–0.071)</td>
<td>(12.3–16.9)</td>
<td>(12.3–16.9)</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂S (mM)</td>
<td>2.9–8.2</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>1.8–3.3</td>
<td>0.5–2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2.4–3.4)</td>
<td>(1.2)</td>
<td>(1.2)</td>
<td>0.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₄ (mM)</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.124–0.147</td>
<td>1.9–2.3</td>
<td>2.1–3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.77–1.1)</td>
<td>(0.30–0.85)</td>
<td>(15.1–39.9)</td>
<td>0.13–0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂ (mM)</td>
<td>11.8–18.4</td>
<td>2.9–3.4</td>
<td>21–25</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(15.1–39.9)</td>
<td>(16)</td>
<td>(16)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe (µM)</td>
<td>1450–10 800</td>
<td>1640</td>
<td>8100–24 100°</td>
<td>2410–2500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(31–863)</td>
<td>This work</td>
<td>This work</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values reported for Lucky Strike and Rainbow were determined as part of this study. Values in parentheses for Lucky Strike and Rainbow have been added for comparison and are from Charlou and colleagues (2000) and Charlou and colleagues (2002), respectively. Fluids from 13°N along the East Pacific Rise and seawater are added for comparison.

Available in the hydrothermal fluids have been predicted to play a major role in determining the distribution patterns of deep-sea vent microorganisms (Shock et al., 1995; Shock and Holland, 2004; Tivey, 2004; Takai et al., 2006a; McCollom, 2007; Takai and Nakamura, 2010). Of the studies that have attempted to link hydrothermal fluid chemistry with microbial community composition, most have focused on single vent fields and have been hampered by inadequate sample number and/or sequencing depth (Takai et al., 2004; Nakagawa et al., 2005a; Sogin et al., 2006; Huber et al., 2007; Perner et al., 2007; Nunoura and Takai, 2009; Opatkiewicz et al., 2009; Takai and Nakamura, 2010). Recently, Huber and colleagues (2010) overcame these limitations by employing next generation sequencing techniques to characterize the bacterial communities of diffuse fluid samples at five seamounts along the Mariana Arc. While the study revealed non-random distribution patterns of the dominant Epsilonproteobacteria, correlations between hydrothermal fluid chemistry and the observed patterns were not apparent. Several factors, including the inability to detect fine-scale patterns with short 16S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) gene fragments from the hypervariable region 6 (V6), were suggested as possible reasons as to why community structure was not correlated with fluid chemistry. As such, the impact of hydrothermal fluid chemistry on microbial community composition and/or structure remains largely unknown.

As the potential for direct coupling of geochemistry and biology is greatest at the interface where the hydrothermal fluids mix with seawater to produce mineral deposits, we hypothesized that the microbial communities associated with active vent deposits would be different in vent fields of contrasting chemistry. To address this question, we characterized the archaeal and bacterial communities of 12 deposits from two magmatically heated hydrothermal systems: the ultramafic-hosted Rainbow (36°13′N, 33°54.1′W, depth 2270–2330 m) and the basalt-hosted Lucky Strike (37°17′N, 32°16.3′W, depth 1600–1750 m) vent fields along the MAR (Fig. S1, Table S1). Due to the relatively close proximity of the two vent fields (approximately 180 km apart), the likelihood that any observed differences are due to a distance-decay relationship is minimized, and therefore provide model sites for assessing the impact that geological processes controlling fluid chemistry have on microbial biogeography. Both vent fields have been fairly well characterized with respect to fluid chemistry (Langmuir et al., 1997; Von Damm et al., 1998; Charlou et al., 2002; Douville et al., 2002), geologic setting (Langmuir et al., 1997; Charlou et al., 2002; Douville et al., 2002; Humphris et al., 2002; Singh et al., 2006), deposit mineralogy (Rouxel et al., 2004a,b; Marques et al., 2006) and macrobiological communities (Desbruyeres et al., 2000; 2001).

The Rainbow vent field is located within ultramafic rocks on the western flank of a non-volcanic ridge at the intersection between the non-transform system faults and the ridge faults (Douville et al., 2002). The vent field is tectonically controlled and is composed of at least 10 active chimneys that emit acidic, high-temperature fluids (370°C) with relatively consistent end-member compositions indicative of a single source for the entire field.
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(Charlou et al., 2002). Hydrothermal fluids from Rainbow are influenced by serpentinization reactions and are characterized by high dissolved gas, particularly H₂, CH₄, and CO, and metal concentrations but relatively low H₂S concentrations (Table 1) (Charlou et al., 2002; Douville et al., 2002). Minerals present in these chimneys include pyrrhotite and isocubanite, indicative of relatively reducing environments (Rouxel et al., 2004a).

The Lucky Strike vent field is located at the summit of the Lucky Strike seamount along the MAR near a young, solidified lava lake and is one of the largest vent fields along the MAR (Langmuir et al., 1997; Humphris et al., 2002). Hydrothermal activity here has been episodic but ongoing for hundreds to thousands of years (Humphris et al., 2002). The fluids from Lucky Strike originate from reactions with relatively oxic, and previously altered basalt, and are somewhat unique for a basalt-hosted system with respect to the relatively high pH (25°C) and low Fe, manganese (Mn), lithium (Li) and zinc (Zn) concentrations (Von Damm et al., 1998). Minerals present in chimneys and flanges include pyrite, marcasite, chalcopryte and sphalerite, indicative of relatively more oxidizing conditions than at the Rainbow vent field (Langmuir et al., 1997; Rouxel et al., 2004b). There is also evidence that fluids from Lucky Strike may have two sources as fluids from the northern segment are systemically different than those from the south (Von Damm et al., 1998). Unlike fluids from Rainbow, the predominant gas in Lucky Strike fluids is CO₂ with H₂ two to three orders of magnitude less in concentration (Table 1) (Von Damm et al., 1998; Charlou et al., 2002). Such dramatic differences in H₂ concentrations are likely to be a strong force in structuring hydrothermal vent microbial communities as has been previously predicted (Shock et al., 1995; Shock and Holland, 2004; Takai et al., 2006a; McCollom, 2007; Takai and Nakamura, 2010) since many chemoautotrophic primary producers utilize H₂ as an energy source.

Results and discussion

Alpha- and beta-diversity

Because of the limitations of using the V6 region of the 16S rRNA gene in multiplexed barcoded pyrosequencing (Claesson et al., 2009; Huber et al., 2010; Schloss, 2010), we targeted the V4 region to characterize the archaeal and bacterial communities associated with hydrothermal deposits. Using this approach, we generated 45 195 and 91 607 high-quality archaeal (average 3766 sequences per sample, 247–249 nt length) and bacterial (average 7634 sequences per sample, 207–208 nt length) sequences, respectively (Table S1). Pyrosequencing amplicons were aligned and clustered using the Ribosomal Database Projects (RDP) pyrosequencing and the SLP/PW-AL pipelines at operational taxonomic unit (OTU) definitions of 95% and 97% sequence similarity, respectively (Cole et al., 2009; Huse et al., 2010). Alpha-diversity assessments (OTU richness, Chao1 index and rarefaction analysis) revealed comparable results with both alignment/clustering approaches (Fig. S2, Table S2) providing us with confidence that overestimates of diversity were minimized. Overall, bacterial diversity was greater than archaeal diversity in all samples. Furthermore, several of the archaeal rarefaction curves are near-asymptotic, indicating that we have nearly completely sampled the archaeal V4 diversity of these samples (Fig. S2).

Differences in overall community composition between vent fields (beta-diversity) were assessed using both OTU-based metrics (Bray-Curtis, Sørensen) and the phylogeny-based metric, UniFrac (Lozupone et al., 2006; Hamady et al., 2010). UniFrac distances were calculated to account for abundances of individual taxa (weighted) or based solely on presence/absence (unweighted). Non-metric multidimensional scaling (MDS) plots built using all metrics revealed that the communities from the two vent fields were distinct (Fig. 1A and B, Fig. S3A–F). Statistically significant patterns, as determined by ANOSIM (Primer v6), were observed in seven of the eight analyses (Fig. 1A and B, Fig. S3A–F) with the sole exception being the bacterial weighted UniFrac distances. It is likely that the dominance of closely related Epsilonproteobacteria in the bacterial data mask the significant differences observed using the unweighted UniFrac distances, although the bacterial communities were significantly different in both weighted (Bray-Curtis) and unweighted (Sørensen) OTU-based analyses. Moreover, we found that the archaeal and bacterial community composition varied significantly less within vent fields than between vent fields and hence, community composition was more similar within than between vent fields (Fig. 1C, Fig. S3G–I). This suggests that the geochemical differences between the two vent fields are a stronger force in structuring microbial communities than any within vent field force. Together, these results clearly show that the microbial communities are different between the Rainbow and Lucky Strike vent fields.

Taxonomy

While beta-diversity metrics provide insights into overall community differences, assigning taxonomic identities to sequences allows for determining how these communities differ taxonomically and possibly functionally. To achieve this, we used the RDP classifier (Wang et al., 2007) set at a bootstrap value of 50% (Claesson et al., 2009) to classify representative sequences of each OTU defined using the RDP-95% clusters. Manual classifications using ARB
and BLAST were also performed for many unclassified OTUs as known lineages (e.g. ‘Aciduliprofundales’) were not classified by the RDP classifier.

Archaea

Overall, the archaeal lineages observed at both vent fields were typical for this environment and likely represent the core archaeal microbiome. Archaeal families shared between all vent samples include the thermophilic Desulfuroccocaceae, Thermococcaceae and Thermofilaceae (Fig. 2A). Other thermophilic lineages shared by most samples include the mixotrophic Archaeoglobaceae, the acidophilic fermentative ‘Aciduliprofundales’ (DHVE2) and the Nanoarchaea (Takai and Horikoshi, 1999; Boone et al., 2001; Huber et al., 2002; Reysenbach et al., 2006; Reysenbach and Flores, 2008). Several novel lineages with no known isolates in culture were also observed. For example, three OTUs (‘Unclassified Euryarchaeota A’) were found in all but three samples. Sequences of these OTUs are related to clones found in other deep-sea vent environments (>94% similarity) (Moussard et al., 2006). Homologous sequences were also identified in the 16S rRNA gene clone libraries generated for this study (data not shown).

The most striking difference between the two vent fields was the absence of known methanogens (Methanococcaceae and Methanocaldococcaceae) at Lucky Strike (Fig. 2A). This is notable because methanogens are common inhabitants of most vent fields (Takai et al., 2006b), although a few single chimney surveys with limited sequencing depth have also failed to detect methanogens at other vent fields (Takai et al., 2001; Hoek et al., 2003; Kormas et al., 2006; Zhou et al., 2009). Nevertheless, this is the first report with this level of sampling and sequencing depth (12 samples, 45 195 sequences) that shows their absence at the vent field scale. The lack of detectable methanogens was confirmed by quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) assays of a functional gene diagnostic for methanogenesis (mcrA) in 18 vent deposits from Lucky Strike (Fig. 3). Furthermore, methanogens were not detected in 16S rRNA gene clone libraries constructed from the most diverse of the Lucky Strike samples (data not shown). The absence of methanogens at Lucky Strike in conjunction with their abundance at Rainbow is in agreement with theoretical calculations of free-energy yields for thermophilic hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis based on H₂ concentrations as previously reported (McCollom, 2007; Takai and Nakamura, 2010). Other notable differences in the archaeal communities between vent fields were observed within the Desulfuroccocaceae at the genus level. For example, the obligate anaerobe Staphylothermus was prevalent in
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Rainbow deposits while the microaerophilic Aeropyrum was prevalent in Lucky Strike deposits (Fig. 2B).

SIMPER analysis (Primer v6) (Clarke and Gorley, 2006) was used to determine which archaeal genera were most responsible for the differences observed between sites (Table S3). The top four of these indicator, or ‘discriminating’ OTUs were two methanogens (Methanocaldococcus and Methanothermococcus) as well as Staphylothermus and Aeropyrum, which clearly points to these genera driving the differences observed between the two sites.

Models based on the geochemical characteristics of end-member fluids and their mixing styles in porous, permeable vent deposits (i.e. diffusion and advection across deposits walls bound on one side by vent fluid and the other by seawater) predict wide-oxidizing zones in exterior portions of Lucky Strike deposits and an absence of oxidizing zones in exterior portions of Rainbow deposits (Fig. 4A–C, Fig. S4A–C). The calculations were carried out as described in Tivey (2004), considering diffusion and advection of aqueous species across a porous chimney wall bound on one side by cold seawater, and on the other by the appropriate vent fluid. Compositions of vent fluids from the Sintra and Marker 6 vents at Lucky Strike (Charlou et al., 2000), and from the Flores5 vent at Rainbow (Charlou et al., 2002), were used in the calculations. Concentrations of relevant aqueous species as a function of position within the chimney wall are shown in Fig. 4.

The very large differences in redox conditions for mid- to exterior layers (those at less than ~120°C) are a result of the extremes in aqueous H₂ concentrations in the different end-member fluids [16 mM at Rainbow versus 3.3 μM (Sintra) and 77 μM (Marker 6) at Lucky Strike]. Even with advection of vent fluid outward through the chimney wall, conditions of pore fluids within a chimney at the Sintra vent, Lucky Strike, would be relatively oxidizing at all temperatures up to 177°C (Fig. 4A). Other vents at Lucky Strike have higher concentrations of aqueous H₂ in end-member fluids, but conditions within a chimney at the Marker 6 vent would still be relatively oxidizing at temperatures up to 60°C (Fig. 4B). These ‘relatively oxidizing zones’ are zones where the free energy of the reactions for sulfide oxidation and methanotrophy are less than zero and thus favourable, while the free energy for the reactions for sulfate reduction and methanogenesis are greater than zero, and thus not favourable. Metabolic energies that would be produced from the favourable reactions at Lucky Strike (e.g. sulfide oxidation and methanotrophy) are comparable to those calculated for chimneys from other vent fields considering diffusion and advection across chimney walls (Tivey, 2004), and mixing of seawater and vent fluid (McCollom and Shock, 1997; Takai and Nakamura, 2010). Metabolic energy from methanogenesis in a Lucky Strike chimney at Marker 6

Fig. 2. Comparison of taxonomic variation in the archaeal and bacterial communities of Rainbow and Lucky Strike hydrothermal vent deposits.
A. Relative abundances of archaeal families observed in each vent deposit. Note the absence of methanogens in all Lucky Strike samples.
B. Relative abundances of crenarchaeal genera observed in each vent deposit.
C. Relative abundances of bacterial orders observed in each vent deposit.
D. Relative abundances of less abundant thermophilic genera observed in each vent deposit. Note that the abundant, unclassified Thermotogae lineages shown in (C) have been omitted in (D) to allow for visualization of low-abundance genera. Numbers in parentheses following taxonomic classifications indicate the number of OTUs classified to that particular group. Rb, Rainbow; LS, Lucky Strike.
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vent, in zones of the chimney that are at temperatures greater than 60°C, would be less than half of that from sulfate reduction. In contrast to at Lucky Strike, the very high concentrations of aqueous H₂ in Rainbow fluids result in reducing pore fluids within all portions of Rainbow chimneys, even exterior layers surrounded by oxic seawater (Fig. 4C). Methanogenesis and sulfate reduction are energetically favourable reactions that would produce metabolic energies comparable to those calculated for reducing zones in other chimneys (Tivey, 2004) and reduced mixing zones (McCollom and Shock, 1997). On the other hand, sulfide oxidation and methanotrophy would not be expected to be favourable reactions anywhere within Rainbow chimneys, but could occur if equilibrium between H₂ and O₂ was kinetically inhibited, as proposed by Shock and Holland (2004). Laboratory experiments have demonstrated that H₂–O₂ equilibria may be kinetically inhibited at lower temperatures (Foustoukos et al., 2011). The dashed lines in the bottom panels of Fig. 4 and Fig. S4 show predicted amounts of H₂ and O₂ if equilibration between the two species is completely inhibited (i.e. maximum amounts of O₂ or H₂ that might be present in zones that would be predicted to be relatively oxidizing or reducing assuming equilibrium between the two species). For example, at the Flores5 vent at Rainbow, a very small amount of metabolic energy (an order of magnitude less than in Lucky Strike exterior layers) would be available in outermost layers (e.g. at 7°C) from methanotrophy if there was complete disequilibrium between H₂ and O₂, and the amount of metabolic energy from sulfate reduction would be comparable to that in Lucky Strike exterior layers. At the low temperature of 7°C, disequilibrium might be expected (Foustoukos et al., 2011). In Lucky Strike chimneys, if equilibration is completely inhibited, methanogenesis would be thermodynamically favourable in chimneys formed from Marker 6 fluids at temperatures less than 60°C, and from Sintra fluids at temperatures less than 177°C, but amounts of metabolic energy would be considerably less than from sulfide oxidation (two-thirds less for Sintra fluids, half for Marker 6 fluids). In addition, at these higher temperatures, the rate of equilibration between H₂ and O₂ would be higher than at lower temperatures (Foustoukos et al., 2011).

The differences in predicted redox conditions in the exteriors of the deposits help to explain the abundance of *Aeropyrum* at Lucky Strike and *Staphylothermus* and methanogens at Rainbow. Additionally, the unusually low
concentrations of H2 in exteriors of Lucky Strike deposits (Fig. 4A–C, Fig. S4A–C) most likely cannot support methanogenesis, particularly given competition with other thermophilic hydrogen oxidizers such as members of the Archaeoglobaceae and Desulfurococaceae. Certain members of these families would also have an advantage over methanogens by being able to use alternative electron donors when H2 was unavailable (Boone et al., 2001). Interestingly, the archaeal diversity of Rb-2 shows some similarity with those of Lucky Strike deposits (Fig. 2A). This sample is from a highly porous few-millimetre-thick distinct outer layer of a chimney (Fig. S5). The Rb-3 sample was taken from the less porous, harder interior layer adjacent to Rb-2. The fluid sampled from this vent had slightly lower measured H2 as compared with other Rainbow fluids (~12 mmol kg⁻¹ versus ~16 mmol kg⁻¹, Table S4). More efficient mixing of seawater may have occurred within the very porous (and likely more permeable) outer layer (Rb-2), which would result in even lower H2, while the interior layer (Rb-3) would remain reducing and support methanogens. These findings suggest that in addition to local hydrothermal fluid chemistry influencing microbial diversity, within-field variability in archaeal diversity can be influenced by fluid mixing styles and deposit porosity and permeability.

Bacteria

Of the 91 607 bacterial V4 amplicons sequenced from the 12 hydrothermal vent samples, approximately 61% (56 091) were classified as Epsilonproteobacteria (Fig. 2C). Epsilonproteobacteria are known to play significant roles in carbon and sulfur cycling and have consistently been shown to be the most numerically abundant bacteria in these environments (Longnecker and Reysenbach, 2001; Nakagawa et al., 2005b; Campbell et al., 2006; Opatkiewicz et al., 2009; Huber et al., 2010). On a sample by sample basis, Epsilonproteobac-
Thiobacillus were the most abundant sequences observed in three of the Rainbow and all of the Lucky Strike samples (Fig. 2C). Within the Epsilonproteobacteria, the moderately thermophilic Caminibacter and Nautilia, and the mesophilic Nitratiractor and Sulfurovum were detected in all samples although abundances differed likely reflecting the different temperature regimes across individual deposits (Fig. S6A). The diversity within the Sulfurovum, Caminibacter and Nitratiractor was surprisingly high with several OTUs identified by SIMPER as being found exclusively at one vent field (Table S5). This suggests that differences in the epsilonproteobacterial communities between Rainbow and Lucky Strike are at a finer scale (e.g. species or ecotype level) than what can be resolved with 16S rRNA gene sequences alone. These differences, however, may be significant as different OTUs within the same genus could be filling different ecological niches. For example, two hydrogen-oxidizing Caminibacter species previously isolated from Rainbow show differences in their oxygen tolerance as C. mediterraneus is a strict anaerobe while C. profundus grows optimally with 0.5% oxygen (Miroshnichenko et al., 2004; Voordeckers et al., 2005). Therefore, although all OTUs classified as Caminibacter are treated equally, OTU level differences may be in response to similar geochemical properties that shape the archaeal communities. Yet, the isolated nature of these environments may also foster allopatric speciation within each vent field. Nonetheless, these results suggest that biogeographical provincialism occurs for free-living microbes as it does with vent invertebrates in deep-sea hydrothermal environments (Ramirez-Llodra et al., 2007).

Of the samples not dominated by Epsilonproteobacteria, two were dominated by Gammaproteobacteria (Rb-2, -3) and one by unclassified sequences related to the Thermotogae (Rb-6) (Fig. 2C). Taxa of the Gammaproteobacteria observed in most samples include the mesophilic sulfide-oxidizing Thiomicrospira and several genera within the methylo trophic Methylcocccaceae (Fig. S6B). Although two of the Rainbow deposits (Rb-1, -4) had very few sequences classified as Gammaproteobacteria, there was an overall greater diversity of Methylcocccaceae at Rainbow, perhaps reflecting the higher concentrations of abiotic (and biotic) methane generated in ultramafic environments and identifying another metabolism preferentially enriched for in ultramafic environments. Because the sequences in Rb-6 are only distantly related to the Thermotogae, they are likely a novel deep-sea group whose primary metabolism in unknown.

Differences in the less abundant bacterial thermophilic members corroborated many of the archaeal community observations (Fig. 2D). For example, the hydrogen-oxidizing sulfite-reducing Thermodesulfitator and Thermodesulfobacterium were detected in all Rainbow samples but were only detected in one of the Lucky Strike deposits. Within the Deltaproteobacteria, the genus Desulfonauticus, a moderately thermophilic hydrogen-oxidizing sulfate reducer, was observed in five of six Rainbow samples and not in any of the Lucky Strike deposits further suggesting enriched diversity of thermophilic hydrogen oxidizers at Rainbow. SIMPER also identified these OTUs as being some of the most significant drivers of bacterial community differences (Table S5).

Conclusions
As some studies have pointed to the possible role of subsurface geochemical processes such as phase separation as drivers for microbial diversity at vents, the small sample sizes have compromised the reported conclusions (Nakagawa et al., 2005a; Nunoura and Takai, 2009). Our data from the microbial communities colonizing hydrothermal deposits show at multiple taxonomic levels that there is a direct coupling of geological, geochemical and microbiological processes at deep-sea hydrothermal vents. At the ultramafically hosted Rainbow site, the high concentrations of aqueous H₂ in the vent fluids resulting from serpentinization reactions allow for methanogens to flourish. Conversely, Lucky Strike vent fluids have very low aqueous H₂ concentrations and are oxidizing relative to most mid-ocean ridge vent fluids (Charlou et al., 2000; 2002). In addition, some of the vent fluids at Lucky Strike exhibit evidence of conductive cooling in the subsurface that is attributed to the presence of impermeable cap rocks that trap fluids (Rouxel et al., 2004b) and for subsurface seawater entrainment (Humphris et al., 2002), which would result in venting of even more oxidizing fluids at the seafloor. Therefore, in the actively venting deposits at Lucky Strike, the conditions are not suitable for the growth of thermophilic methanogens, and favour the growth of microaerophilic hyperthermophiles.

Here we have only considered the pore fluids as the source of reduced or oxidized species that provide energy for microbial metabolism. Mineral surfaces, however, may also play a role in regulating chemical environments inhabited by microorganisms. What is known both from past studies of deposits samples at Lucky Strike and Rainbow vent fields, and from analysis of samples collected during the same cruise as this study, is that the mineral assemblages present in Rainbow versus Lucky Strike vent deposits indicate significantly more reducing conditions in Rainbow deposits, with pyrhotite (Fe₇S₈) and cubanite (CuFe₁₂S₄) more prevalent at Rainbow and marcasite and pyrite (FeS₂) and chalcopyrite (CuFeS₂) more prevalent at Lucky Strike (Langmuir et al., 1997; Rouxel et al., 2004a,b). The redox state of these minerals
(more versus less reducing) may create microenvironments within the chimneys, further influencing microbial communities.

Lucky Strike and Rainbow vent fields represent opposite ends of the geochemical spectrum along the MAR hydrothermal vent fields, particularly with respect to $H_2$ concentrations. Extending this sampling and deep sequencing approach to other vent fields in different geological settings and including more finer scale mineralogical and textural analyses is needed to identify the full suite of geologic and geochemical properties that influence microbial community structure and will provide boundaries for future modelling efforts.

**Experimental procedures**

**Sample collection and DNA extraction**

Vent deposit samples were collected during July/August 2008 from the Rainbow and Lucky Strike vent fields using the robotic manipulators of the remotely operated vehicle (ROV) *Jason II*. Upon collection, samples were placed in sealed, custom made bioboxes to minimize contamination from surrounding seawater. After surfacing, samples were removed from bioboxes and sampled using published methods (Reysenbach et al., 2006). For samples Rainbow-1, -2, -4, -5 and Lucky Strike-7, -8, -9, -12 approximately 1–4 mm of the outer biofilm-encrusted surface was removed and homogenized using sterile mortar and pestles. For samples Lucky Strike-10 and -11, outer biofilm crusts were homogenized with inner sections as they could not be easily separated from the rock. For samples Rainbow-3 and -6, interior sections of the deposits were homogenized after removal of the outer crust. Homogenized samples were stored in cryovials at −80°C for subsequent DNA extraction. Nucleic acids for pyosequencing, clone libraries and qPCR were extracted from homogenized samples (−1.6–3.2 g) using the Ultra Clean Soil DNA Isolation Kit (MoBio Laboratories) according to the protocol of Reysenbach and colleagues (2006).

**Hydrothermal fluid chemistry**

Hydrothermal fluids were collected using isobaric gas-tight fluid samplers (Seewald et al., 2002) deployed from the ROV *Jason II* and processed immediately following recovery on the ship. Vent fluid temperature was monitored continuously during fluid sampling using a thermocouple attached to the end of the sampler inlet snorkel. The reported temperatures (Table 1 and Table S4) represent maximum values recorded for each vent. Shipboard analysis of dissolved $H_2$ and $CH_4$ concentrations was accomplished using gas chromatography following headspace extraction. Samples aliquots were archived in gas-tight serum vials for shore-based determination of total dissolved $CO_2$ ($LCO_2$) by gas chromatography following acidification and headspace extraction. Total dissolved $H_2S$ ($LH_2S$) and $pH$ (25°C) were determined at sea by electrochemical titration using a sulfide-specific electrode and a Therm-Ross glass electrode, respectively.

**454 Pyrosequencing**

For amplifying the hypervariable V4 region of the SSU rRNA gene of *Archaea*, we used as forward primer oligonucleotides that contained a modified U519F primer sequence (Suzuki and Giovannoni, 1996) fused to 6-nucleotide key tags (Cole et al., 2009) and to the 454 FLX sequencing primer A (‘5’-GCCTCCTCCGCAGCATCAgxxxxxxAGYMGCCRCGGKAACC, where the x region represents the key tag and the SSU rRNA primer is bold). To allow multiplex sequencing, 10 such primers were synthesized (Integrated DNA Technologies; HPLC purified), each with a different key tag. The reverse oligonucleotide primer represented a fusion of the 454 FLX sequencing primer B and a modified Arch806R primer (Takai and Horikoshi, 2000) (‘5’-GCTTTGCCAGCCGCCTAGGACACTACNSGGTMCTAAT, where the 16S rRNA region is bold). PCR reactions (50 μl volume) contained 300 nM of each of forward and reverse primers, 20–50 ng of template DNA and were performed using Platinum Taq High Fidelity polymerase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) using the thermal profile 95°C for 2 min followed by 27 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 15 s, primer annealing at 53°C and extension at 68°C for 45 s, with final extension of 68°C for 3 min. For a few samples the amount of template DNA was limiting and additional three to five PCR cycles were necessary in order to obtain a sufficient product. Negative control reactions without template were always performed.

The bacterial hypervariable V4 region of the SSU rRNA gene was amplified using a similar approach, using as forward primer key-tagged oligonucleotides (‘5’-GCCTCCTCGGCCGCACTCAxaaaaigYGGYDAAANG-3’) and a mix of reverse primers (‘5’-GCCCTTGGCCAGCCCAGT CAG:CTACRGGGTHTCTAATCC, :TACCAGATATCTAAC GGACTACNSGGTMCTAATC and :TACNVGGGTATCTAATCC-3’ in a 6:1:2:12 ratio, respectively, designed to cover most of the Bacteria domain (Cole et al., 2009). The amplification protocol was the same as for Archaea except that the annealing temperature was 55°C.

The amplicon products were purified using AMPure paramagnetic beads (Agencourt Bioscience Corporation, Beverly, MA) followed by analysis of their concentration and size using DNA 1000 chips on an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany). Amplicon libraries were prepared for unidirectional sequencing using the emPCR Kit II (Roche) followed by sequencing on a 454 Life Sciences Genome Sequencer FLX (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN). Based on the use of identifying key tags, 20 individual samples were pooled and sequenced on each of the two sides of the picotitre plate.

Raw sequence data were initially processed through the RDP’s (Ribosomal Database Project) Pyrosequencing Pipeline (http://pyro.cme.umi.edu/) (Cole et al., 2009). Sequences were excluded from analysis if they had a mean quality score < 20, were < 200 or > 275 bp in length (*Archaea* (> 250 for *Bacteria*), contained ambiguous nucleotides (N’s) or had any mismatches in the forward and reverse primers. The sequences were assigned to individual samples by their key tag and the 16S rRNA primers were removed prior to
analysis. Trimmed sequences were then subjected to an initial pre-classification step using the RDP classifier (Wang et al., 2007) in which unclassified sequences (not Archaea or Bacteria) or sequences classified to the incorrect domain (e.g. Bacteria in the archaeal data set) were removed. The resulting data sets contained 207–208 nt of the bacterial and 247–249 nt of the archaeal V4 region respectively.

Sequence analysis

Trimmed sequenced files were aligned with the secondary-structure aware Infernal aligner (Nawrocki et al., 2009) and clustered using the complete-linkage clustering method (furthest-neighbour) available through the RDP’s pyrosequencing pipeline (Cole et al., 2009). Sequences were also aligned and clustered using the SLP/PW-AL pipeline (Huse et al., 2010). Individual cluster files from both methods were used to generate rarefaction curves (Colwell and Coddington, 1994) and Chao1 richness estimator (Chao and Bunge, 2002) at different OTU similarity levels (97% and 95%). Custom perl scripts were used on combined cluster files to develop abundance matrices for both archaeal and bacterial clusters. A second script was used to select representative sequences of each cluster and these sequences were run through the RDP classifier (Wang et al., 2007) set at a 50% bootstrap cut-off as recommended by Claesson and colleagues (2009). Classifications were downloaded and a third script was used to link the classifications back to the original cluster files. The taxonomic results presented here were generated from the RDP OTUs at 95% similarity. We chose to use this method for analysis because the V4 region performs best with the infernal aligner used by RDP (Nawrocki et al., 2009) and less stringent clustering values have been shown to minimize overestimates of diversity (White et al., 2010). Selected sequences representing clusters that could not be classified by the RDP classifier were further examined by BLAST searches against the GenBank’s non-redundant database (Benson et al., 2009), aligning sequences in ARB (Ludwig et al., 2004) and inserting them into the Silva-96 reference tree (Pruesse et al., 2007) using the quick add function. When possible, clusters were then given a taxonomic classification based on published nomenclature otherwise a generic identifier (i.e. unclassified Archaea A) was used to track OTUs between samples.

For OTU-based beta-diversity assessments, abundance matrices were imported into Primer v6. Bray-Curtis similarities were calculated on matrices that had been normalized to total and transformed via square root while Sørensen similarities were calculated directly from abundance matrices. Distance matrices were also generated for both archaeal and bacterial communities using the phylogeny-based metric UniFrac (Lozupone et al., 2006; Hamady et al., 2010). First, for each of the Bacteria and Archaea global data sets we grouped the sequences in OTU clusters using either 97% or 95% sequence similarity levels, using the RDP Pyrosequencing Pipeline. We then extracted one sequence from each OTU cluster to construct hydrothermal vent reference data sets for either Bacteria (811 sequences at 97% and 648 sequences at 95% sequence similarity respectively) or Archaea (310 sequences at 97% and 229 sequences at 95% sequence similarity respectively). For tree rooting, we added the SSU rRNA sequences of either Nanoarchaeum equitans (GenBank AJ318041) (Archaea data set) or Hydrogenobaculum sp. (GenBank AY861719) (Bacteria data set), trimmed to correspond to the sequenced V4 region followed by aligning using the RDP aligner. Maximum likelihood rooted phylogenetic trees were then constructed using RAxML-7.0.4 (Stamatakis, 2006) using the GTR+gamma parameter and 100 independent searches for the best tree. After assigning of each individual sequence from the global data sets to their closest representatives in the reference data sets using local BLAST, the UniFrac sample ID mapping file was generated using a python script, according to the Fast UniFrac data analysis flow. The online Fast UniFrac pipeline was used for the subsequent analysis, including generation of the UniFrac distance matrix. The same results were obtained using either the 97% or the 95% sequence similarity data sets. The presented figures are based on the 97% sequence similarity trees and matrices. In parallel, we also used the Greengenes core sequence data set and tree that are available as part of the online FastUniFrac for mapping and preliminary analysis, with similar results (not shown). Similarity and distance matrices were analysed using principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) (not shown), non-metric multi-dimensional scaling (NMDS), and tested for significance using ANOSIM. Additionally, similarities/distances were averaged within and between vent sites and tested for significance using a one-tailed t-test. All distance matrix analyses except PCoA was performed with PRIMER v6 (Clarke and Gorley, 2006).

SIMPER analysis

To help identify which organisms were responsible for the differences observed in community composition, we used SIMPER (‘similarity percentages’) in PRIMER v6 after classifying each OTU to the genus level (when possible) (Clarke and Gorley, 2006). The top 50 archaeal OTUs across samples were classified, imported into PRIMER v6, normalized by abundance in each sample, square-root transformed and then analysed with SIMPER. The top 100 bacterial OTUs were treated similarly with the exception that data were transformed to a presence/absence matrix to account for low abundant taxa.

Quantitative PCR (qPCR)

Quantitative PCR was performed according to manufacturer’s instructions using the Quantitect SYBR green PCR kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) and 0.8 µM final primer concentration, with melting curves performed at the end of each reaction to ensure product specificity. For total archaeal numbers, the protocol outlined by Reysenbach and colleagues (2006) was followed. For quantification of methanogens and sulfate reducers, qPCR with primers specific for the methyl coenzyme M reductase (mcrA) and the dissimilatory sulfite reductase (dsrB) genes was performed according to published methods (Wilson et al., 2010). Gene copy numbers presented here were normalized by the amount of material in grams extracted.
Nucleotide sequence accession numbers

Nucleotide sequences generated in this study have been deposited to the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRP005280) (454 pyrosequencing) and in GenBank under Accession No. HQ893885-HQ894378 (clone libraries). Trimmed 454 sequences are also available at http://alrlab.research.pdx.edu/projects/MAR2008.
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**Supporting information**

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article:

**Fig. S1.** Photographs of hydrothermal vent samples collected from the Rainbow and Lucky Strike vent fields. Yellow circles indicate which chimney/section was used for sampling.

**Fig. S2.** Rarefaction analysis illustrates the greater diversity observed in the bacterial communities of 12 hydrothermal deposit samples collected from the Rainbow and Lucky Strike vent fields.

A. Archaeal rarefaction curves at two sequence similarity levels (97% and 95%) using two different alignment/clustering methods. Note the near-asymptotic appearance of several curves suggesting nearly complete sampling of the V4 sequences.

B. Bacterial rarefaction curves.

Rb, Rainbow; LS, Lucky Strike.

**Fig. S3.** 16S rRNA gene surveys reveal partitioning of the archaeal and bacterial communities between the ultramafic...
hosted Rainbow (red) and basalt-hosted Lucky Strike (blue) vent fields along the Mid-Atlantic Ridge. Communities clustered using MDS of the unweighted (A and D) and weighted (B and E) UniFrac distances for archaeal (A, B) and bacterial (D, E) communities. Sorensen similarity values for archaeal (C) and bacterial (F) communities also clustered by vent site. Each point represents an individual vent sample. Results of ANOSIM analysis showing that the observed patterns are significant are presented in the bottom right or left corner of each plot. (G) Average unweighted and weighted (H) UniFrac distance within and between vent fields. Average distances were significantly different for archaeal weighted ($P < 0.01$) and unweighted ($P < 0.001$), and bacterial unweighted ($P < 0.001$) communities as determined by one-tailed t-tests. Sorensen similarities were also significantly different (I) for both bacteria ($P < 0.001$) and archaea ($P < 0.001$). Error bars indicate the standard error of the mean (SEM).

**Fig. S4.** Concentrations across a uniformly porous ($\phi = 0.5$) 3-cm-thick chimney wall resulting from transport between seawater (at position $= -3$) and (A) 220°C Sintra vent fluid (Lucky Strike), (B) 305°C Marker 6 vent fluid (Lucky Strike), (C) 350°C Flores5 vent fluid (Rainbow) at position $= 0$ by diffusion only (see Fig. 4 caption for more details of calculations). Note the lack of oxidizing zone for the Rainbow chimney even with no outward advection of vent fluid.

**Fig. S5.** Photograph of the hydrothermal vent chimney used for samples Rb-2 and Rb-3. Note how the porous, outer layer (Rb-2) cleanly peels away from the rest of the chimney sample.

**Fig. S6.** Comparison of taxonomic variation in the bacterial communities of Rainbow and Lucky Strike hydrothermal vent deposits.

A. Relative abundances of epsilonproteobacterial genera observed in each vent deposit.

B. Relative abundances of gammaproteobacterial families observed in each vent deposit.

Numbers in parentheses following taxonomic classifications indicate the number of OTUs classified to that particular group. Rb, Rainbow; LS, Lucky Strike.

**Table S1.** Hydrothermal vent deposits used to characterize the microbial communities from the Rainbow (Rb) and Lucky Strike (LS) vent fields.

**Table S2.** Comparison of OTU richness and Chao1 diversity estimates generated from two different clustering methods for archaeal and bacterial communities of hydrothermal vent deposits collected along the Mid-Atlantic Ridge.

**Table S3.** SIMPER analysis was used to identify archaeal genera responsible for the dissimilarity observed in the communities of Rainbow and Lucky Strike vent deposits.

**Table S4.** End-member fluid chemistry of samples used for microbial community characterizations.

**Table S5.** SIMPER analysis was used to identify bacterial genera responsible for the dissimilarity observed in the communities of Rainbow and Lucky Strike vent deposits.
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